Smartagb: Aboveground Biomass Estimation of Sorghum Based on Spatial Resolution, Machine Learning and Vegetation Index

Qi Liu¹, Yaxin Wang¹, Jie Yang¹, Wuping Zhang^{1,*}, Huanchen Wang¹, Fuzhong Li¹, Guofang Wang², Yuansen Huo¹ and Jiwan Han¹

¹ College of Software, Shanxi Agricultural University, Jinzhong 030801, China

² College of Resources and Environment, Shanxi Agricultural University, Jinzhong 030801, China

Abstract

This work aims to explore the feasibility of predicting and estimating the aboveground biomass (AGB) of sorghum using multispectral images captured by UAVs, and clarify the quantitative relationship between vegetation index and sorghum AGB based on different spatial resolutions, and build an AGB estimation model based on UAV multispectral images and vegetation index under different spatial resolutions. Combining spatial resolution, vegetation index, and machine learning, a training set is used to train the model, and a verification set is used to verify the model to select the best prediction model corresponding to different spatial resolutions. The three best prediction models under three spatial resolutions are classic machine learning models. 1) when the spatial resolution is 0.017m, the model precision obtained from the random forest is R2=0.8961, MAE=26.4340, and RMSE=32.2459. 2) when the spatial resolution is 0.024m, the model accuracy obtained by the Lasso algorithm is R2=0.8826, MAE=31.106, and RMSE=40.2937; 3) when the spatial resolution is 0.030m, the model accuracy obtained by the decision tree algorithm is R2=0.8568, MAE=30.3373, and RMSE=40.8082; and 4) the model's accuracy decreases with the decrease of spatial resolution. The results show that the combination of spatial resolution, vegetation index, and machine learning algorithm is an effective, fast, and accurate prediction method.

Keywords: Sorghum, Aboveground Biomass, UAV, Multispectral Image, Spatial Resolution

Received on 05 December 2022, accepted on 17 January 2023, published on 23 March 2023

Copyright © 2023 Qi Liu *et al.*, licensed to EAI. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>CC BY-NC-SA 4.0</u>, which permits copying, redistributing, remixing, transformation, and building upon the material in any medium so long as the original work is properly cited.

doi: 10.4108/eetiot.v9i1.2904

1. Introduction

Aboveground biomass (AGB) is an important parameter to consider in crop's growth, which is directly related to the final yield and can directly reflect the growth of crop[1,2,3]. Therefore, a rapid and accurate monitoring method of AGB can timely know crop's growth and predict the yield, which is of great significance to agricultural management[1,4]. However, the traditional methods for measuring AGB are not only inefficient but also cause the damage to crops in the measurement process. It is so

difficult to measure AGB in a large area of crops[1,5,6,7,8,9,10].

The UAV multispectral technology has advantages of the flexible application, simple operation, and convenient usage, and has been widely used in crop's AGB monitoring[1,11]. Hyperspectral and lidar sensors that can be carried on UAV platforms are expensive, which limits the application and popularization of the UAV [1,12,13,14,15,16,17]. Although the multispectral sensor has only five bands, its price is friendly, the image obtained is good, and the data is easy to be processed, which makes it popular in the academic community as a widely



^{*}Corresponding author. Email: zwping@126.com

applicable data acquisition method for large areas. Zhang Xianxian et al.[18] built winter wheat's AGB prediction model by combining vegetation index with machine learning and neural network based on UAV digital images, and the results showed that the effect of machine learning was ideal. Cheng Yifeng et al. [19] built a multivariate compound yield estimation model by combining the relationship between LAI and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and the actual yield of cotton at flowering and boll stage, and realized the prediction of cotton yield in northern Xinjiang. Cui Rixian et al.[20] estimated winter wheat's AGB based on UAV digital image technology by using neural network and regression technology combined with vegetation index, and the results showed that the neural network was better. Tao Huilin et al.[21] based on UAV digital technology, combined machine learning with vegetation index to calculate winter wheat's AGB and got a good prediction result. The above researches show that UAV technology can effectively monitor crop's AGB. At present, few reports have been made on the prediction and estimation of sorghum's AGB by coupling the UAV multispectral image data at different spatial resolutions by machine learning model. Therefore, this study attempts to obtain multispectral image data by UAV at different spatial resolutions, and then estimates sorghum's AGB through the method of combining different spatial resolutions, vegetation index, and machine learning, and compares the differences in generalization ability and prediction accuracy between models, Find out the suitable forecasting methods and provide new theoretical support, and technical means for data collection, phenotype monitoring, intelligent planting management and the yield estimation of sorghum.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Test Zone Overview

The study area is located in Wujiabao Village, Taigu District, Jinzhong City, Shanxi Province (112 ° 30'51 " E, 37 ° 26'41 " N), as shown in Figure 1. The altitude of this area is about 795~805m, and the average annual frost-free period is 160 to 190 days. The annual average temperature is 10.6 °C, and the annual precipitation is 400mm to 600mm. The main precipitation is from July to August. The annual average sunshine hours are 1810 to 2100 hours, which is suitable for sorghum growth.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the location of the experimental crop planting area. (a) The geographical location of the test area; (b) Planting in the test area

2.2. Experimental Design

The tested sorghum variety is "Jinza No. 22". The sowing method is manual spot sowing. The row spacing is 0.25 to 0.3m, and the plant spacing is 0.2m. The sowing time is April 25, 2021. The sorghum was harvested on October 13, 2021, supplemented by conventional field management.

This study is aimed at the real-time monitoring of sorghum growth under the condition of conventional planting and built quadrats under the premise of ensuring the normal growth of crops. The area of a quadrat was $1.0m^2$. The white PVC pipe with a diameter of 5cm is used as the frame of the quadrat. The height of the aboveground part is 2.7m, all as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Basic information of the test site. (a) Overview of the test site; (b) Test quadrat

2.3. MultiSpectral Image Acquisition of UAV

The UAV multispectral system consists of the UAV platform and multispectral sensors. The UAV platform is Dajiang Phantom 4Pro 4-axis UAV. The UAV system consists of a flight control system, power supply system, stabilized PTZ, remote control, and display. The UAV system is shown in Figure 3. UAV parameter specifications



are shown in Table 1. The multispectral sensor is MicaSense RedEdge MX multispectral camera. The multispectral sensor is light and easy to use. It has five spectral bands: blue, green, red, red edge, and near-infrared. It is also equipped with an SD memory card to store remote-sensing image data. The multispectral sensor is shown in Figure 3, and the parameters of the multispectral camera are shown in Table 2 and Table 3.



Figure 3. UAV multispectral system. (a) Dajiang Phantom 4 Pro; (b) Multispectral camera MicaSense RedEdgeMX

Table 1. Main parameters and specifications of UAV

Parameters	Values/Types
Model	DJI Phantom 4 Pro
Take-off weight	1388 g
Wheelbase	350 mm
Duration of flight	20 min
Maximum horizontal flight speed	50 km/h
Maximum take-off altitude	120 m
Maximum flying altitude	6000 m

Table 2. Machine parameters of RedEdge multispectral camera

Parameters	Values/Types
Model	MicaSense RedEdge-MX
Weight	238.7 g
size	12.1 cm * 6.6 cm * 4.6 cm
spectral bands	Blue, Green, Red, Near IR, Red Edge
focal length	5.5 mm-1.68 mm

Table 3. Band parameters of the multispectral camera

Band Name	Center	Bandwidth
Blue	475	20
Green	560	20
Red	668	10

Near IR	840	10
Red Edge	717	10

To ensure the accuracy and effectiveness of image data acquisition, it is selected to acquire the affected data in a period of moderate light intensity and stable radiation intensity on a sunny, windless, and cloudless day.

Before the UAV takes off, set the relevant parameters in advance, set the speed at 2m/s, and the flight altitude at 25m, 35m, and 45m respectively. The corresponding spatial resolution is shown in Table 4. The course overlap rate is 80%, and the inter-flight overlap rate is 80%. The planned flight route is larger than the range of the study area. Because of the large range of aerial photography and high accuracy requirements, the UAV uses the autonomous aerial photography mode to plan the route and take vertical photos in the study area and set the shooting time interval of the multispectral camera as 2s. Before the task starts, manually control the multispectral sensor and calibrate it to prepare for later image correction processing.

Table 4. Spatial resolution corresponds to different
flight heights

Height(m)	Spatial Resolution (m)
25	0.0017
35	0.0024
45	0.0030

2.4. Image Processing

In this study, AgisoftPhotoScan software is used to preprocess the multispectral image, and ArcGIS10.7 is used to extract the reflectivity of each band. First, screen the images one by one before image splicing, remove the damaged images and remote sensing images outside the study area, including the images of UAV takeoff and landing, and only retain the image data in the test area. The preprocessed image data is imported into ArcGIS again, and five kinds of spectral information contained in the pixel are extracted.

2.5. Determination Of Aboveground Biomass

Biomass is the most important indicator of a crop's ability to obtain energy, so measuring the crop's biomass is of great significance for studying crop phenotype[1,22]. In this study, plants with similar growth status around each quadrat and in the quadrat were selected for destructive sampling of the whole selected sorghum plant. After being green in the oven at 105°C for 30 minutes, the sorghum plant was dried at 80°C for more than 24 hours until the mass of each part was constant. This is the dried matter of



the sample and then converted into AGB per unit area[23,24].

2.6. Model Evaluation

The correlation between vegetation index and AGB is expressed by R, and the model is evaluated by determination coefficient R^2 , mean absolute error (MAE) and root mean square error (RMSE). The closer the R^2 is to 1, the better the prediction effect of the model is. The smaller the MAE and RMSE values are, the better the consistency between the predicted values and the measured values is, that is, the more accurate the model verification results are[25,26,27]. R², MAE, and RMSE are calculated as follows:

$$R^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widehat{y_{i}} - \overline{y_{i}})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y_{i}})^{2}}$$
(1)

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{y}_i - y_i|$$
(2)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2}$$
(3)

In the above formulas, n is the number of model samples, y_i is the measured value, \overline{y}_i is the mean value of the measured value, \hat{y}_i represents an estimated value, and the unit of RMSE is g/m².

2.7. Vegetation Index

Based on previous research results, 10 vegetation indexes with the potential ability to estimate AGB were selected. By extracting the color information from the UAV multispectral image, the values of five spectral bands, including blue, green, red, red edge, and near-infrared, are obtained. These parameters are calculated to obtain normalized vegetation index (NDVI), normalized difference red edge (NDRE), green normalized difference vegetation index (GNDVI), vertical vegetation index (PVI), Green optimized soil adjusted vegetation index (GOSAVI), chlorophyll index (CVI), modified triangular vegetation index (MTVI), modified green and red vegetation index (MGRVI), normalized green, blue difference index (NGBDI), and the Visible light difference vegetation index (VDVI). The detailed information of these vegetation indexes is shown in Table 5.

Table 5. The vegetation indexes formula and reference

Abb	Formula	Ref
NDVI	$NDVI = \frac{NIR - R}{NIR + R}$	[28]
NDRE	$NDRE = \frac{NIR - RE}{NIR + RE}$	[29]
GNDVI	$GNDVI = \frac{NIR - G}{NIR + G}$	[30]
PVI	$PVI = \frac{2.5(NIR - 10.489R - 6.604)}{\sqrt{1 + 10.489^2}}$	[31]
GOSAV I	$GOSAVI = \frac{1.16(NIR - G)}{NIR + G + 0.16}$	[32]
CVI	$CVI = \frac{NIR \times R}{G^2}$	[33]
MTVI	$MTVI = \frac{1.5(1.2(NIR - G) - 2.5(R - G))}{\sqrt{(2NIR + 1)^2 - (NIR - 5\sqrt{R}) - 0.5}}$	[34]
MGRVI	$MGRVI = \frac{G^2 - R^2}{G^2 + R^2}$	[35]
NGBDI	$NGBDI = \frac{G+B}{G-B}$	[36]
VDVI	$VDVI = \frac{2G - R - B}{2G + R + B}$	[37]

Note: In the table, Abb means Abbreviation, Ref means Reference, R refers to the red light band, B refers to the blue light band, G refers to the green light band, RE refers to the red edge band, and NIR refers to the near-infrared band.

3. Test and Analysis

3.1. Model Selection

In this study, the unary linear regression model (ULR) and multiple machine learning models were used to predict the AGB of sorghum. And machine learning adopts the ridge regression algorithm of generalized linear regression, the lead absolute regression and selection operator (Lasso), the support vector machine (SVM), the decision tree algorithm, the random forest algorithm (RF) in bagging, and the Adaboost algorithm in boosting.

Ridge regression (Ridge) is an improved biased estimation regression method based on least squares estimation. In this study, we choose the ridge regression machine learning model based on generalized linear regression.

Lasso is a kind of compression estimation. By setting some regression coefficients to zero, the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients is forced to be less than a fixed value. It is also a biased estimate. In this study, the Lasso model based on generalized linear regression is selected.

Support vector machine (SVM) is one of the common kernel learning methods, which can perform nonlinear classification. After parameter optimization, the regression



model of SVM based on the linear kernel is selected in this study.

Decision tree (DT) is a decision analysis method that judges by calculating the probability that the expected value of NPV is greater than or equal to zero. In machine learning, decision tree is the basis and necessary component of many other algorithms.

Random Forest (RF) is a machine learning algorithm composed of multiple decision trees. Its principle is to judge the input validation samples according to the trained model, and the final results are output by multiple decision trees in the form of voting. It is a classical bagging algorithm, and bagging is a very important integrated learning technology.

Adaboost is an iterative algorithm, whose core idea is to combine multiple weak classifiers into a strong classifier. It is a classical, effective and practical boosting algorithm, and boosting algorithm is an important integrated learning technology.

3.2. Data Processing

During the half-year-long experiment, 146 valid data were obtained in total, and the data set was divided into the training set and the verification set, of which the verification set accounted for 30%. In this study, Python program language is used to complete data set partition, data processing, and analysis.

3.3. Model Construction and Verification under the Different Spatial Resolutions

3.3.1. Spatial Resolution is 0.017m

At this spatial resolution, the flight height of the UAV is 25m, and the vegetation index with the highest correlation with AGB is NGBDI, whose correlation value is 0.80. Using the vegetation index NGBDI as the independent variable and AGB as the dependent variable, the ULR model is established by using the training set and verified by using the verification set. The fitting equation obtained is shown in Formula 4.

$$AGB = 72.9530 \times NGBDI + 187.9065 \tag{4}$$

At the same time, the value of R^2 , MAE, and RMSE of the model are calculated, as shown in Table 6.

Table 6. The R², MAE and RMSE of the ULR model with the spatial resolution of 0.017m

Model	Indicator		
wouer	\mathbb{R}^2	MAE	RMSE
ULR	0.6096	46.2032	62.5113

At the same time, the obtained fitting equation (4) is used to predict the samples in the validation set, and the comparison between the predicted value and the actual value is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Prediction results of the ULR model with the spatial resolution of 0.017m

The above algorithms are trained on the training set, and the model obtained is evaluated with the verification set data. The specific results are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Prediction results of machine learning model with the spatial resolution of 0.017m

Madal	Validation Set Indicator			
WOUEI	\mathbb{R}^2	MAE	RMSE	
SVM	0.8788	29.2946	34.8227	
Ridge	0.8451	31.2111	39.3696	
Lasso	0.8227	35.7130	42.1220	
DT	0.7672	37.8077	48.2737	
RF	0.8961	26.4340	32.2459	
Adaboost	0.8552	27.7927	38.0682	

The obtained machine learning model is used to predict the samples of the verification set, and the comparison between the predicted value and the actual value is shown in Figure 5.





Figure 5. Prediction results of six machine learning models with the spatial resolution of 0.017m

The random forest model has the best effect, with an R^2 value of 0.8961, an MAE value of 26.4340, and an RMSE value of 32.2459. Obviously, under this spatial resolution, the best prediction effect is the random forest regression model in the classical machine learning model.

3.3.2 The Spatial Resolution is 0.024m

At this spatial resolution, the flight height of the UAV is 35m, and the vegetation index with the highest correlation with AGB is NGBDI, whose correlation coefficient is 0.82. With NGBDI as the independent variable and AGB as the dependent variable, a univariate linear regression (ULR) model is established using the training set and verified using the verification set. The fitting equation obtained is shown in Formula 5.

$$AGB = 113.1912 \times NGBDI + 189.0102 \tag{5}$$

The values of R^2 , MAE, and RMSE of the unary linear model are obtained, as shown in Table 8 below.

Table 8. The R², MAE and RMSE of the ULR model with the spatial resolution of 0.024m

Model	Indicator		
WOUEI	\mathbb{R}^2	MAE	RMSE
ULR	0.8019	40.7526	52.3413

At the same time, the obtained fitting equation (5) is used to predict the samples in the validation set. The comparison between the predicted value and the actual value is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Prediction results of the ULR model when the spatial resolution is 0.024m

The above algorithms are trained on the training set, and the model obtained is evaluated with the verification set data. The specific results are shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Prediction results of machine learning model with the spatial resolution of 0.017m

Madal	Validation	Validation Set Indicator		
Model	\mathbb{R}^2	MAE	RMSE	
SVM	0.8523	32.5750	45.1901	
Ridge	0.8805	30.3510	40.6507	
Lasso	0.8826	31.1061	40.2937	
DT	0.7774	41.3775	55.4806	
RF	0.8199	39.6705	49.8989	
Adaboost	0.6895	56.6235	65.5202	

The obtained machine learning model is used to predict the samples of the verification set, and the comparison between the predicted value and the actual value is shown in Figure 7:



Figure 7. Prediction results of machine learning model when the spatial resolution is 0.024m



Obviously, the Lasso model has the best effect, with the R^2 value of 0.8826, the MAE value of 31.1061, and the RMSE value of 40.2937. Under this spatial resolution, the Lasso regression model in the classical machine learning model has the best prediction effect.

3.3.3 The Spatial Resolution is 0.030m

At this spatial resolution, the flight height of the UAV is 45m, and the vegetation index with the highest correlation with AGB is MGRVI, whose correlation value is 0.82. Using the vegetation index MGRVI as the independent variable and AGB as the dependent variable, the training set is used to establish a unitary linear regression model and the verification set is used for verification. The fitting equation obtained is shown in Formula 6.

$$AGB = 89.2695 \times MGRVI + 266.8630 \tag{6}$$

The values of R^2 , MAE, and RMSE of the model are obtained, as shown in Table 10.

Table 10. The R², MAE and RMSE of the ULR model with the spatial resolution of 0.030m

Madal	Indicator		
Model	R ² MAE RMSE		RMSE
ULR	0.8180	29.1241	46.0055

At the same time, the obtained fitting equation (6) is used to predict the samples in the validation set. The comparison between the predicted value and the actual value is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Prediction results of the ULR model when the spatial resolution is 0.030m

The above machine learning algorithms are trained on the training set, and the model obtained is evaluated with the verification set data. The specific results are shown in Table 11.

Table 11. F	Prediction res	ults of mach	ine learning
model w	ith the spatia	l resolution of	of 0.030m

Madal	Validation Set Indicator			
woder	\mathbb{R}^2	MAE	RMSE	
SVM	0.7492	39.2105	53.9985	
Ridge	0.8137	31.7354	46.5342	
Lasso	0.7283	42.3913	56.2040	
DT	0.8568	30.3373	40.8082	
RF	0.7526	41.6744	53.6271	
Adaboost	0.7492	42.0220	53.9996	

The obtained machine learning model is used to predict the samples of the verification set, and the comparison between the predicted value and the actual value is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Prediction results of machine learning model when the spatial resolution is 0.030m

The best model is the DT model, whose the R^2 value is 0.8568, the MAE value is 30.3373, and the RMSE value is 40.8082. Under this spatial resolution, the DT regression model in the classical machine learning model has the best prediction effect.

The unary linear regression model used in this study was compared and screened with the traditional, classic, and effective machine learning models. The models with the best prediction effect on sorghum's AGB under the corresponding spatial resolution are summarized in table 12.

Table 12.	Prediction results of machine learning
models	with the different spatial resolutions

Spatial	Optimal Model	Validation Set Indicator		
Resolution		R ²	MAE	RMSE
0.017	RF	0.8961	26.4340	32.2459
0.024	Lasso	0.8826	31.1061	40.2937
0.030	DT	0.8568	30.3373	40.8082



In general, the machine learning model is more accurate than the linear regression model in predicting AGB with the certain spatial resolution. Moreover, with the decline of spatial resolution (that is, the increase of UAV flight altitude), the prediction's accuracy of the best model for AGB prediction is also declined.

4. Results and Discussion

The machine learning algorithm has high flexibility and computational efficiency and has been widely used in modeling and prediction of AGB. The three optimal prediction models oin their corresponding spatial resolution in this study are machine learning models, and the R^2 of the models are higher than that of the linear regression model.

The reason why the model accuracy decreases with the decrease of spatial resolution is not only that with the increase of UAV flight altitude, the spatial resolution decreases, but also brings about the decline of information collection and resolution ability for ground crops, and with the decline of spatial resolution, it makes the data acquisition process of multispectral images more vulnerable to the impact of background such as clouds, which brings more noise and errors to the data.

Although this study further could verify the potential of using the machine learning algorithms to the combine spatial resolution with the vegetation indexes to predict the AGB, there are also several deficiencies in the study: First, the grid search method is used to automatically tune the parameters of the models, but this method still has some shortcomings. In the future, the automatic optimization and parameter adjustment algorithm based on AI would be used to tune the parameters of the model automatically: Second. the field operation environment of the field test is unstable and difficult to structure, which makes the collection of test data has certain deficiencies; Third, due to discontinuous observation time and only one-quarter of observation data, and relatively few data samples collected, the available open source data would be integrated or more data will be collected for further correction and verification; Fourth, the research object is only one variety of sorghum, and target object is relatively simple. The promotion research and application of other sorghum varieties, and even other crops (such as corn, and millet) still need experiments, discussion and study.

5. Conclusion

AGB refers to the total amount of organic matter per unit area in a certain period. It is an important indicator for crop growth monitoring. It not only represents the quality of crop planting but also represents the efficiency of photosynthesis and the accumulation of photosynthetic substances. It is an important basic condition for yield formation[38,39]. At present, the AGB prediction model mainly relies on field-measured data to verify and evaluate the established prediction model. In this study, the data set is trained, modeled, and verified by combining spatial resolution with vegetation index based on random forest, lasso regression, decision tree, and other machine learning algorithms. The main conclusions are as follows:

1) When the spatial resolution is 0.017m, the random forest algorithm is the best model to be used to predict the AGB, and the model's R^2 =0.8961, MAE=26.4340, and RMSE=32.2459 are obtained;

2) When the spatial resolution is 0.024m, the lasso algorithm is the best model to be used to predict the AGB, model's R^2 =0.8826, MAE=31.106, and RMSE=40.2937 are obtained;

3) When the spatial resolution is 0.030m, the decision tree algorithm is the best model to be used to predict AGB, model's R^2 =0.8568, MAE=30.3373, and RMSE=40.8082;

4) It shows that the combination of spatial resolution and vegetation index, with the help of a classical machine learning model, can obtain higher accuracy of the AGB prediction model. It shows that the combination of spatial resolution, vegetation index, and machine learning algorithm is a robust, fast, and accurate prediction method.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to all the team members, and thanks a lot of the support and help of the project of construction and application of the technology model of improving quality and efficiency by combining planting and cultivation in the hilly area of East Shanxi Province (Project No. 2021YFD1901101-5).

References

- Wang Y, Feng D, Li S, et al. Review of Estimating Crop Biomass based on Remote Sensing Information[J]. Remote Sensing Technology & Application, 2016, 31(3).
- [2] Colomina I, Molina P. Unmanned aerial systems for photogrammetry and remote sensing: A review -ScienceDirect[J]. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 2014, 92(2): 79-97.
- [3] Yang G J, Li C C, Wang Y J, Yuan H H, Feng H K, Xu B and Yang X D. The DOM generation and precise radiometric calibration of a UAV-mounted miniature snapshot hyperspectral imagery[J]. Remote Sensing, 2017, 9(7): 642.
- [4] Xu Y B. Envirotyping and its applications in crop science[J]. Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 2015, 48(17): 3354-3371.
- [5] Watanabe K, Guo W, Arai K, Takanashi H, Kajiya-Kanegae H, Kobayashi M, Yano K, Tokunaga T, Fujiwara T, Tsutsumi N and Iwata H. Highthroughput phenotyping of sorghum plant height using an unmanned aerial vehicle and its application to genomic prediction modeling[J]. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2017, 8: 421.
- [6] Singh S K, Houx III J H, Maw M J W and Fritschi F B. Assessment of growth, leaf N concentration and chlorophyll content of sweet sorghum using canopy reflectance[J]. Field Crops Research, 2017, 209: 47-57.



- [7] Yan G J, Hu R H, Luo J H, Mu X H, Xie D H and Zhang W M. Review of indirect methods for leaf area index measurement[J]. Journal of Remote Sensing, 2016, 20(5): 958-978.
- [8] Chen Z X, Ren J Q, Tang H J, Shi Y, Leng P, Liu J, Wang L M, Wu W B and Yao Y M. Progress and perspectives on agricultural remote sensing research and applications in China[J]. Journal of Remote Sensing, 2016, 20(5): 748-767.
- [9] Yao K, Guo X D, Nan Y, Li K, Jiang S F and Sun T T. Research progress of hyperspectral remote sensing monitoring of vegetation biomass assessment[J]. Science of Surveying and Mapping, 2016, 41(8): 48-53.
- [10] Liu Y, Huang J, Sun Q, Feng H K, Yang G J and Yang F Q. Estimation of plant height and aboveground biomass of potato based on UAV digital image[J]. National Remote Sensing Bulletin, 2021, 25(9): 2004-2014.
- [11] Zhang C B , Li W G, Zhang H, Li W, Ma T H, Zhang Z Z, Chen H, et al. Estimation of aboveground biomass of winter wheat based on remote sensing spectral index and neural network[J]. Journal of Triticeae Crops. 2022, 42(05): 631-639.
- [12] Candiago S, Remondino F, De Giglio M, Dubbini M and Gattelli M. Evaluating multispectral images and vegetation indices for precision farming applications from UAV images[J]. Remote Sensing, 2015, 7(4): 4026-4047.
- [13] ang G J, Liu J G, Zhao C J, Li Z H, Huang Y B, Yu H Y, Xu B, Yang X D, Zhu D M, Zhang X Y, Zhang R Y, Feng H K, Zhao X Q, Li Z H, Li H L and Yang H. Unmanned aerial vehicle remote sensing for field-based crop phenotyping: current status and perspectives[J]. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2017, 8: 1111.
- [14] Guo Q H, Su Y J, Hu T Y, Zhao X Q, Wu F F, Li Y M, Liu J, Chen L H, Xu G C, Lin G H, Zheng Y, Lin Y Q, Mi X C, Fei L and Wang X G. An integrated UAV-borne lidar system for 3D habitat mapping in three forest ecosystems across China[J]. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 2017, 38(8/10): 2954-2972.
- [15] Nie S, Wang C, Dong P L, Xi X H, Luo S Z and Zhou H Y. Estimating leaf area index of maize using airborne discretereturn LiDAR data[J]. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 2016, 9(7): 3259-3266.
- [16] Potgieter A B, George-Jaeggli B, Chapman S C, Laws K, Suárez Cadavid L A, Wixted J, Watson J, Eldridge M, Jordan D R and Hammer G L. Multi-spectral imaging from an unmanned aerial vehicle enables the assessment of seasonal leaf area dynamics of sorghum breeding lines[J]. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2017, 8: 1532.
- [17] Yuan H H, Yang G J, Li C C, Wang Y J, Liu J G, Yu H Y, Feng H K, Xu B, Zhao X Q and Yang X D. Retrieving soybean leaf area index from unmanned aerial vehicle hyperspectral remote sensing: analysis of RF, ANN, and SVM regression models[J]. Remote Sensing, 2017, 9(4): 309.
- [18] Zhang L X, Chen Y Q, Li Y X, Ma J C, Du K M, Zheng F X and Sun Z F. Estimating above ground biomass of winter wheat at early growth stages based on visual spectral[J]. Spectroscopy and Spectral Analysis, 2019, 39(8): 2501-2506.
- [19] Cheng Yifeng, Gong Lu, Zhang Xueni, et al. Study of the remote sensing cotton yield estimation model in Northern Xinjiang[J/OL]. Xinjiang Agricultural Science, 2012, 49(8): 1497-1502[2021-01-30].
- [20] Cui R X, Liu Y D and Fu J D. Estimation of winter wheat biomass using visible spectral and BP based artificial neural

networks[J]. Spectroscopy and Spectral Analysis, 2015, 35(9): 2596-2601.

- [21] Tao H L, Xu L J, Feng H K, Yang G J, Yang X D, Miao M K and Dai Y. Estimation of plant height and biomass of winter wheat based on UAV digital image[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering, 2019, 35(19): 107-116.
- [22] Guo C F, Chen W J, Niu M Y, Zhang Z G, et al. Collaborative estimation of aboveground biomass in grassland based on multiple vegetation index models[J]. Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas, 2022, 40(04): 206-213.
- [23] LI Y D, YE C, CAO Z S, et al. Monitoring leaf nitrogen concentration and nitrogen accumulation of double cropping rice based on crop growth monitoring and diagnosis apparatus [J]. Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 2020, 31(9): 3040–3050. (in Chinese)
- [24] Tong X, Yang Z L, Zhang Y R, Wu Y C, Duan L M, et al. Estimation of Pasture Aboveground Biomass using Different Orders of Differential Hyperspectral Vegetation Indices[J]. Acta Prataculturae Sinica, 2022, 30(09): 2438-2448.
- [25] YE C, LIU Y, LI Y D, et al. Monitoring the nitrogen nutrition of early rice based on RGB color space[J]. Journal of China Agricultural University, 2020, 25(8): 25–34.
- [26] GUO H L, LI X, FU Y, et al. High-resolution leaf area index inversion based on the Kernel Ridge Regression algorithm and PROSAIL model. Acta Prataculturae Sinica, 2022, 31(12): 41–51.
- [27] WANG Xu, DENG Yushuai, LIAN Xuemeng, et al. Inversion of chlorophyll content in sugar beet canopy based on UAV multispectral technique[J]. Sugar Crops of China, 2022, 44(4): 36-42.
- [28] GOSWAMI S, GAMON J, VARGAS S, et al. Relationships of NDVI, Biomass, and Leaf Area Index (LAI) for six key plant species in Barrow, Alaska [J]. Peer J Preprints, 2015, 3: e913v1.
- [29] Gitelson A, Merzlyak M N. Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll-a using reflectance spectra: experiments with autumn chestnut and maple leaves[J]. J Photochem Photobiol B Biol, 1994, 22(3): 247-252.
- [30] Gitelson A A, Kaufman Y J, Stark R, et al. Novel algorithms for remote estimation of vegetation fraction[J/OL]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2002, 80 (1): 76-87 [2021-01-30].
- [31] BROGE N H, MORTENSEN J VBROGE N H. Deriving green crop area index and canopy chlorophyll density of winter wheat from spectral reflectance data[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2002, 81(8): 45-47.
- [32] GITELSON A A, MERZLYAK M N. Remote estimation of chlorophyll content in higher plant leaves[J]. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 1997, 18(12): 2691-2697.
- [33] Vincini M, Frazzi E, Alessio D. P.. A broad-band leaf chlorophyll vegetation index at the canopy scale[J]. Precision Agriculture, 2008, 9(5): 303-319.
- [34] Haboudane D, Miller J R, Elizabeth P, et al. Hyperspectral vegetation indices and novel algorithms for predicting green LAI of crop canopies: Modeling and validation in the context of precision agriculture[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2003, 90(3): 337-352.
- [35] Bendig J, Yu K, Aasen H, Bolten A, Bennertz S, Broscheit J, Gnyp M L and Bareth G. Combining UAV-based plant height from crop surface models, visible, and near infrared vegetation indices for biomass monitoring in barley[J]. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 2015, 39: 79-87.



- [36] Kataoka T , Kaneko T , Okamoto H , et al. Crop growth estimation system using machine vision[C]// Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, 2003. AIM 2003. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE/ASME International Conference on. IEEE, 2003: 1079-1083.
- [37] Wang Xiaoqin, Wang Miaomiao, Wang Shaoqiang, et al. Extraction of vegetation information from visible unmanned aerial vehicle images[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering (Transactions of the CSAE), 2015, 31(5): 152-159. (in Chinese with English abstract)
- [38] SHU S F, LI Y D, CAO Z S, et al. Estimation of Aboveground Rice Biomass by Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Imaging[J]. Fujian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2022, 37(7): 824-832.
- [39] Liu Y, Zhang H, Feng H K, Sun Q, Huang Y, Wang J J, Yang G J, et al. Estimation of potato aboveground biomass by UAV hyperspectral imaging[J]. Spectroscopy and Spectral Analysis, 2021, 41(09): 2657-2664.

