

# Frontier and Semifrontier Sets in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces

S. Girija<sup>1,\*</sup>, S. Selvanayagi<sup>2</sup>, Gnanambal Ilango<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hindusthan College of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore,India.

<sup>2</sup>Kumaraguru College of Technology,Coimbatore, India.

<sup>3</sup>Government Arts College, Coimbatore,India.

## Abstract

The notions of frontier and semifrontier in intuitionistic topology have been studied and several of their properties are proved. Many counter examples have been pointed out for the relevant classifications.

Received on 07 May 2018; accepted on 12 July 2018; published on 12 September 2018

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic frontier, Intuitionistic semifrontier, Intuitionistic semi continuous.

Copyright © 2018 S. Girija *et al.*, licensed to EAI. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>), which permits unlimited use, distribution and reproduction in any medium so long as the original work is properly cited.

3rd International Conference on Green, Intelligent Computing and Communication Systems - ICGICCS 2018, 18.5 - 19.5.2018, Hindusthan College of Engineering and Technology, India

doi:10.4108/eai.12-9-2018.155558

## 1. Introduction

Atanassov [1], in 1986, established the fundamentals of intuitionistic fuzzy set as a generalization of fuzzy sets of Zadeh [12] on the degree of membership and non membership. The fundamentals of intuitionistic topological spaces was instigated by Coker[4], in the year 2000. Intuitionistic sets (*IS*'s) have been applied in areas of science and technology. Salama [8] has used intuitionistic topology (*IT*) for studying land cover changes. Considering the inherent nature of Geographic Information Science (GIS) phenomena, it seems more suitable to study the problem of land cover changes using intuitionistic fuzzy topology. For recasting the GIS problem in terms of intuitionistic topology, the study of intuitionistic frontier is necessary.

This paper provides the notion of intuitionistic frontier and its properties in intuitionistic topological spaces  $ITS(X)$ . By intuitionistic semiopen sets [5], the notion of intuitionistic semifrontier is defined and we characterize intuitionistic semi continuous functions with reference to intuitionistic semi frontier. Counter examples given herein are constructed upon the intuitionistic topological space defined by Coker[4].

\*S.Girija. Email: girija6271@gmail.com

## 2. Intuitionistic Frontier

**Definition : 2.1.**[2] Consider a nonempty set as  $X_1$ . An *IS*  $A$ , having the form  $A = \langle X_1, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are subsets of  $X_1$  satisfying  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$ . The set of members of  $A$  is  $A_1$ , and the set of non members is  $A_2$ . The set of all *ITS* in  $X_1$  is denoted as  $ITS(X_1)$ .

**Definition : 2.2.**[4] The nonempty set  $X_1$  and  $A, B$  are *IS*'s in the form  $A = \langle X_1, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle X_1, B_1, B_2 \rangle$  respectively. Then

- $A \subseteq B$  iff  $A_1 \subseteq B_1$  and  $A_2 \supseteq B_2$ .
- $A = B$  iff  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ .
- $\bar{A} = \langle X_1, A_2, A_1 \rangle$ .
- $A - B = A \cap \bar{B}$ .
- $\phi = \langle X_1, \phi, X_1 \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{X} = \langle X_1, X_1, \phi \rangle$ .
- $A \cup B = \langle X_1, A_1 \cup B_1, A_2 \cap B_2 \rangle$ .
- $A \cap B = \langle X_1, A_1 \cap B_1, A_2 \cup B_2 \rangle$ .

**Definition : 2.3.**[4] An *IT* on a nonempty set  $X_1$  is a family  $\tau$  of *IS*'s in  $X_1$  satisfying the following axioms:

- $\phi, \tilde{X} \in \tau$
  - $\tilde{G}_1 \cap \tilde{G}_2 \in \tau$  for any  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$
  - $\cup G_i \in \tau$  for any arbitrary family  $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$ .
- In this case, the pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called an intuitionistic topological space (*ITS* for short) and any intuitionistic set in  $\tau$  is known as an intuitionistic open set (*IOS* for short) in  $X$ .

**Definition : 2.4.** [4] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an intuitionistic topological space ( $ITS(X)$ ) and  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$  be an *IS* in  $X$ . Then the interior and closure of  $A$  are defined

by  
 $Icl(A) = \cap \{K : K \text{ is an ICS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$   
 $Iint(A) = \cup \{G : G \text{ is an IOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$ .  
 It can be shown that  $Icl(A)$  is an ICS and  $Iint(A)$  is an IOS in  $X$  and  $A$  is an ICS in  $X$  iff  $Icl(A) = A$  and is an IOS in  $X$  iff  $Iint(A) = A$ .

**Definition : 2.5.[2]** (a) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $p \in X$ , a fixed element in  $X$ . Then the IS  $\tilde{p}$  defined by  $\tilde{p} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$  is called an intuitionistic point (IP for short) in  $X$ .

(b) Let  $\tilde{p}$  be an IP in  $X$  and  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$  an IS in  $X$ . Then  $\tilde{p}$  is said to be contained in  $A$  ( $\tilde{p} \in A$  for short) if and only if  $p \in A_1$ .

**Definition : 2.6.[4]** (a) Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \Phi)$  be two ITS's and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is said to be continuous iff the preimage of each IS in  $\Phi$  is an IS in  $\tau$ .  
 (b) Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \Phi)$  be two ITS's and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is said to be open iff the image of each IS in  $\tau$  is an IS in  $\Phi$ .

**Definition : 2.7.[5]** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS( $X$ ). An intuitionistic set  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be intuitionistic semiopen if  $A \subseteq Icl(Iint(A))$ . The collection of all intuitionistic semiopen sets are denoted by ISOS( $X$ ). The complement of every intuitionistic semiopen set is intuitionistic semiclosed set and the collection of all intuitionistic sets are denoted by ISCS( $X$ ).

**Definition : 2.8.[5]** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an intuitionistic topological space and  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$  be an IS in  $X$ . Then the intuitionistic semiinterior and intuitionistic semiclosure of  $A$  are defined by

$$Iscl(A) = \cap \{K : K \text{ is an ISCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$$

$$Isint(A) = \cup \{G : G \text{ is an ISOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$$

It can be shown that  $Iscl(A)$  is an ISCS and  $Isint(A)$  is an ISOS in  $X$  and  $A$  is an ISCS in  $X$  iff  $Iscl(A) = A$  and  $A$  is an ISOS in  $X$  iff  $Isint(A) = A$ .

**Definition : 2.9.[4]**  $A, B, C$  and  $A_i$  be intuitionistic sets in  $X (i \in J)$ . Subsequently

- (a)  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq C \Rightarrow A \subseteq C$
- (b)  $A_i \subseteq B$  for each  $i \in J \Rightarrow \cup A_i \subseteq B$
- (c)  $B \subseteq A_i$  for each  $i \in J \Rightarrow B \subseteq \cap A_i$
- (d)  $(\cup A_i)^c = \cap A_i^c$
- (e)  $(\cap A_i)^c = \cup A_i^c$
- (f)  $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow B^c \subseteq A^c$
- (g)  $(A^c)^c = A$
- (h)  $(\phi)^c = X$  and
- (i)  $(\tilde{X})^c = \phi$

**Definition : 2.10.[11]** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \Phi)$  be two intuitionistic topological spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is said to be intuitionistic semi continuous, if the inverse image of every intuitionistic open set of  $(Y, \Phi)$  is intuitionistic semi open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Proposition : 2.11.[4]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $A, B$  are intuitionistic sets in the form  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ ,

$B = \langle X, B_1, B_2 \rangle$  respectively. Then

- (a)  $Icl(A \cup B) = Icl(A) \cup Icl(B)$
- (b)  $Iint(A \cap B) = Iint(A) \cap Iint(B)$
- (c)  $Icl(A \cap B) \subseteq Icl(A) \cap Icl(B)$
- (d)  $Icl(A \cup B) \supseteq Icl(A) \cup Icl(B)$ .

### 3. Intuitionistic Frontier

**Definition: 3.1.** Consider  $(X_1, \mu)$  be an ITS( $X_1$ ) and  $K \in IS(X_1)$ . Then  $q \in IFRP(X_1)$  is called an intuitionistic frontier point ( $IFrP$ ) of  $K$  if  $q \in Icl(K) \cap Icl(K^c)$ .

The union of all IFRPs of  $K$  is termed as an IFRP of  $K$  and is represented by  $IFr(K)$ . It is clear that  $IFr(K) = Icl(K) \cap Icl(K^c)$ .

**Proposition : 3.2.** Each IS  $M$  in  $X_1, M \cup IFR(M) \subseteq Icl(M)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $M$  be an IS in  $X_1$  and  $Icl(M) = (X_1 - Icl(X_1 - M))$  and  $Icl(M^c) = Icl(X_1 - M)$ . Also  $IFr(M) = Icl(M) \cap Icl(M^c) = (X_1 - Icl(X_1 - M)) \cap (Icl(X_1 - M)) = \phi$ . So  $M \cup IFR(M) = M$ . Since  $M \subseteq Icl(M)$ ,  $M \cup IFR(M) \subseteq Icl(M)$ .

**Remark: 3.3.** Equality concept cannot be replaced in Proposition 3.2.

**Example:3.4.** Consider  $X_1 = \{a_1, b_1, c_1\}$  with intuitionistic topology  $\mu = \{X_1, \phi, \langle X_1, \{c_1\}, \{b_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \{a_1, c_1\}, \phi \rangle\}$ . For  $M = \langle X_1, \{a_1, c_1\}, \phi \rangle$ ,  $Icl(M) = X_1$  and  $IFr(M) = \langle X_1, \phi, \{a_1, c_1\} \rangle$ .

But  $M \cup IFR(M) = \langle X_1, \{a_1, c_1\}, \phi \rangle \neq Icl(M)$ .

**Theorem:3.5.** For an ITS( $X_1, \mu$ ), the following results hold.

- (a)  $IFr(M) = IFR(M^c)$ .
- (b) If  $M$  is an ICS then  $IFr(M) \subseteq M$ .
- (c) If  $M$  is an IOS then  $IFr(M) \subseteq M^c$ .
- (d)  $(IFr(M))^c = Iint(M) \cup Iint(M^c)$ .

**Proof:**(a)  $IFr(M) = Icl(M) \cap Icl(M^c) = Icl(M^c) \cap Icl(M) = Icl(M^c) \cap Icl((M^c)^c) = IFR(M^c)$ .

(b) Considering as  $M$  be an ICS in  $X_1$ ,  $IFr(M) = Icl(M) \cap Icl(M^c) \subseteq Icl(M) = M$ . Hence  $IFr(M) \subseteq M$ .

(c)  $M$  is an IOS implies  $M^c$  is ICS. By (b),  $IFr(M^c) \subseteq M^c$ , and by (a)  $IFr(M) \subseteq M^c$ .

(d)  $(IFr(M))^c = (Icl(M) \cap Icl(M^c))^c = (Icl(M))^c \cap (Icl(M^c))^c = Iint(M^c) \cup Iint(M)$ .

**Remark:3.6.** In general, the converse of (b) and (c) of Theorem 3.5 is not satisfied.

**Example:3.7.** Let  $X_1 = \{a_1, b_1, c_1\}$  with intuitionistic topology  $\mu = \{X_1, \phi, \langle X_1, \{a_1, b_1\}, \{c_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \{a_1\}, \phi \rangle,$

- $\langle X_1, \{a_1, c_1\}, \phi \rangle, \langle X_1, \phi, \{a_1, b_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \{a_1\}, \{b_1\} \rangle,$
- $\langle X_1, \phi, \{c_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \{a_1, b_1\}, \phi \rangle, \langle X_1, \{a_1\}, \{c_1\} \rangle,$
- $\langle X_1, \{a_1\}, \{b_1, c_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle X_1, \{b_1\}, \phi \rangle,$
- $\langle X_1, \{b_1\}, \{a_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \phi, \{b_1, c_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \{c_1\}, \{b_1\} \rangle,$
- $\langle X_1, \{a_1, c_1\}, \{b_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \{b_1, c_1\}, \{a_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \phi, \{a_1\} \rangle,$

$\langle X_1, \{c_1\}, \phi \rangle \langle X_1, \{c_1\}, \{a_1, b_1\} \rangle, \langle X_1, \phi, \{a_1, c_1\} \rangle,$   
 $\langle X_1, \phi, \{b_1\} \rangle$ . (i) Let  $M = \langle X_1, \{b_1\}, \{a_1, c_1\} \rangle$ , then  
 $\text{IFr}(M) = \langle X_1, \phi, \{a_1, c_1\} \rangle$  which implies  $\text{IFr}(M) \subseteq M$  but  
 $M \not\subseteq \text{IFr}(M)$ . (ii) Let  $N = \langle X_1, \{a_1\}, \{c_1\} \rangle$ , then  $\text{IFr}(N)$   
 $= \langle X_1, \phi, \{a_1, c_1\} \rangle$  which implies,  $\text{IFr}(N) \subseteq N^c$  but  
 $N^c \not\subseteq \text{IFr}(N)$ .

**Theorem:3.8.** If  $M$  and  $N$  be IS's in an ITS( $X_1$ ), then  
 $\text{IFr}(M \cup N) \subseteq \text{IFr}(M) \cup \text{IFr}(N)$ .

**Proof:** If  $\text{IFr}(M \cup N) = \text{Icl}(M \cup N) \cap \text{Icl}(M \cup N)^c$   
 $\subseteq (\text{Icl}(M) \cup \text{Icl}(N)) \cap (\text{Icl}(M^c) \cap \text{Icl}(N^c))$   
 $\subseteq ((\text{Icl}(M) \cup \text{Icl}(N)) \cap (\text{Icl}(M^c))) \cap ((\text{Icl}(M) \cup \text{Icl}(N)) \cap$   
 $\text{Icl}(N^c))$   
 $\subseteq ((\text{Icl}(M) \cap \text{Icl}(M^c)) \cup (\text{Icl}(N) \cap \text{Icl}(M^c))) \cap ((\text{Icl}(M) \cap$   
 $\text{Icl}(N^c)) \cup (\text{Icl}(M) \cap \text{Icl}(N^c)))$   
 $\subseteq (\text{IFr}(M) \cup (\text{Icl}(N) \cap \text{Icl}(M^c))) \cap ((\text{Icl}(M) \cap \text{Icl}(N^c)) \cup$   
 $\text{IFr}(N))$   
 $\subseteq (\text{IFr}(M) \cup \text{IFr}(N)) \cap ((\text{Icl}(N) \cap \text{Icl}(M^c)) \cup (\text{Icl}(M) \cap$   
 $\text{Icl}(N^c)))$   
 $\subseteq \text{IFr}(M) \cup \text{IFr}(N)$ .

Converse of Theorem 3.8, does not hold.

**Example:3.9.** Let  $X_2 = \{a_2, b_2, c_2\}$  with intuitionistic  
 topology  $\mu = \{X_2, \phi, \langle X_2, \{c_2\}, \{b_2\} \rangle, \langle X_2, \{a_2, c_2\}, \phi \rangle\}$

and let  $A_2 = \langle X_2, \{a_2, c_2\}, \phi \rangle, B_2 = \langle X_2, \{a_2, b_2\}, \{c_2\} \rangle$ .  
 Then  $\text{IFr}(A_2) = \langle X_2, \phi, \{a_2, c_2\} \rangle, \text{IFr}(B_2) = \langle X_2, X_2, \phi \rangle$ ,  
 and  $\text{IFr}(A_2 \cup B_2) = \langle X_2, \phi, X_2 \rangle$ ,  $\text{IFr}(A_2) \cup \text{IFr}(B_2) =$   
 $\langle X_2, X_2, \phi \rangle$  which implies  $\text{IFr}(A_2 \cup B_2) \subseteq$   
 $\text{IFr}(A_2) \cup \text{IFr}(B_2)$  but  $\text{IFr}(A_2) \cup \text{IFr}(B_2) \not\subseteq \text{IFr}(A_2 \cup B_2)$ .

**Theorem:3.10.** Let  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  be two IS's in an ITS( $X_1$ ),  
 $\text{IFr}(A_1 \cap B_1) \subseteq (\text{IFr}(A_1) \cap \text{Icl}(B_1)) \cup (\text{IFr}(B_1) \cap$   
 $\text{Icl}(A_1))$ .

**Proof:** Consider  $\text{IFr}(A_1 \cap B_1) = \text{Icl}(A_1 \cap B_1) \cap \text{Icl}(A_1 \cap$   
 $B_1)^c$   
 $\subseteq ((\text{Icl}(A_1) \cap \text{Icl}(B_1)) \cap (\text{Icl}(A_1^c) \cup \text{Icl}(B_1^c)))$   
 $\subseteq ((\text{Icl}(A_1) \cap \text{Icl}(B_1)) \cap \text{Icl}(A_1^c)) \cup ((\text{Icl}(A_1) \cap \text{Icl}(B_1)) \cap$   
 $\text{Icl}(B_1^c))$   
 $\subseteq (\text{IFr}(A_1) \cap \text{Icl}(B_1)) \cup (\text{IFr}(B_1) \cap \text{Icl}(A_1))$ .

The reverse process of Theorem 3.10. does not satisfied.

**Example:3.11.** Consider  $X_3 = \{11, 22\}$  with  
 intuitionistic topology  $\mu = \{X_3, \phi, \langle X_3, \phi, \{22\} \rangle,$

$\langle X_3, \{11\}, \{22\} \rangle\}$  and let  $A_3 = \langle X_3, \{22\}, \{11\} \rangle, B_3 = \langle$   
 $X_3, \{11\}, \{22\} \rangle$ . Then  $\text{IFr}(A_3) = \langle X_3, \{22\}, \{11\} \rangle,$   
 $\text{IFr}(B_3) = \langle X_3, \{22\}, \{11\} \rangle$  and  $\text{IFr}(A_3 \cap B_3) = \phi$

which implies  $\text{IFr}(A_3) \cap \text{Icl}(B_3) = \langle X, \{22\}, \{11\} \rangle$   
 and  $\text{IFr}(B_3) \cap \text{Icl}(A_3) = \phi$  implies  $\text{IFr}(A_3 \cap$

$B_3) \subseteq (\text{IFr}(A_3) \cap \text{Icl}(B_3)) \cup (\text{IFr}(B_3) \cap \text{Icl}(A_3))$  but  
 $(\text{IFr}(A_3) \cap \text{Icl}(B_3)) \cup (\text{IFr}(B_3) \cap \text{Icl}(A_3)) \not\subseteq \text{IFr}(A_3 \cap B_3)$ .

**Theorem:3.12.** An intuitionistic continuous mapping  
 be  $h : (X_1, \mu) \rightarrow (Y_1, \nu)$  then

$\text{IFr}(h^{-1}(B_1)) \subseteq h^{-1}(\text{IFr}(B_1))$  in any IS  $B_1$  in  $Y_1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $h$  is intuitionistic continuous.  $B_1$  be an IS in  
 $Y_1$ . Then

$\text{IFr}(h^{-1}(B_1)) = \text{Icl}(h^{-1}(B_1)) \cap \text{Icl}(h^{-1}(B_1))^c$   
 $\subseteq \text{Icl}(h^{-1}(\text{Icl}(B_1))) \cap \text{Icl}(h^{-1}(\text{Icl}(B_1)^c))$   
 $\subseteq h^{-1}(\text{Icl}(B_1)) \cap h^{-1}(\text{Icl}(B_1)^c) = h^{-1}(\text{Icl}(B_1) \cap \text{Icl}(B_1^c))$

$\subseteq h^{-1}(\text{IFr}(B_1))$ .

**Lemma : 3.13.** Let  $A_4$  and  $B_4$  are two intuitionistic sets,  
 $A_4 \subseteq B_4$  and  $B_4$  is ICS( $X$ ), then  $\text{IFr}(A_4) \subseteq B_4$ .

**Proof.** Since  $A_4 \subseteq B_4$  implies  $\text{Icl}(A_4) \subseteq \text{Icl}(B_4)$ ,  
 which implies  $\text{IFr}(A_4) = \text{Icl}(A_4) \cap \text{Icl}(A_4^c) \subseteq$   
 $\text{Icl}(B_4) \cap \text{Icl}(B_4^c) \subseteq \text{Icl}(B_4) = B_4$ .

**Theorem:3.14.** Consider  $h_1 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$  be an IO  
 mapping,  $B_2$  be an IS in  $Y_2$ . Then  $h_1^{-1}(\text{IFr}(B_2)) \subseteq$   
 $\text{IFr}(h_1^{-1}(B_2))$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $h_1$  is an IO function,  $B_2$  is an  
 IS in  $Y_2$ . Let  $A_2 = \text{Icl}(\text{IFr}(h_1^{-1}(B_2)))$ . Then  $A_2$   
 is IO, therefore  $h_1(A_2)$  is IO in  $Y_2$ . This gives  
 $\text{Icl}(h_1(A_2)) \in \text{ICS}(Y_2)$ . This follows  $B_2 \subseteq \text{Icl}(h_1(A_2))$ .  
 By Lemma 3.13,  $h_1^{-1}(\text{IFr}(B_2)) \subseteq h_1^{-1}(\text{Icl}(h_1(A_2))) \subseteq$   
 $\text{Icl}(A_2) = \text{Icl}(\text{Icl}(\text{IFr}(h_1^{-1}(B_2)))) = \text{IFr}(h_1^{-1}(B_2))$ .

Consequently,  $\text{IFr}(h_1^{-1}(B_2)) \subseteq \text{IFr}(h_1^{-1}(B_2))$ .

## 4. Intuitionistic Semi Frontier

Levine [6] generalized the notion of open sets as  
 semiopen sets. The generalized work was helpful to  
 develop a wider framework for the study of continuity  
 and its different variants.

**Definition:4.1.** Consider  $(X_4, \mu)$  be an ITS( $X_4$ ),  
 $M \in \text{IS}(X_4)$ . Also  $q \in \text{IFrP}(X_4)$  is defined as

intuitionistic semifrontier point ( $\text{IsFrP}$ ) of  $M$  if  
 $q \in \text{Iscl}(M) \cap \text{Iscl}(M^c)$ . The union of all the  $\text{IsFrPs}$  of  $M$   
 $\tilde{M}$  is termed as an intuitionistic semifrontier of  $M$ . It can be  
 noted as ( $\text{IsFr}(M)$ ). Also  $\text{IsFr}(M) = \text{Iscl}(M) \cap \text{Iscl}(M^c)$   
 holds.

**Theorem: 4.2.** For IS's  $M$  and  $N$  in an ITS( $X_4$ ),

- $\text{Iscl}(\text{Iscl}(M)) = \text{Iscl}(M)$
- $\text{Isint}(\text{Isint}(M)) = \text{Isint}(M)$
- $\text{Isint}(M) \cup \text{Isint}(N) \subseteq \text{Isint}(M \cup N)$
- $\text{Isint}(M \cap N) = \text{Isint}(M) \cap \text{Isint}(N)$
- $\text{Iscl}(M \cup N) = \text{Iscl}(M) \cup \text{Iscl}(N)$
- $\text{Iscl}(M \cap N) \subseteq \text{Iscl}(M) \cap \text{Iscl}(N)$ .

**Proof:** (a)  $M$  is ISC [5] iff  $M = \text{Iscl}(M)$ . Since  $\text{Iscl}(M)$  is  
 ISC,  $\text{Iscl}(\text{Iscl}(M)) = \text{Iscl}(M)$ .

(b) Since  $\text{Isint}(M)$  is ISO and  $M$  is ISO iff  $M = \text{Isint}(M)$ ,  
 therefore  $\text{Isint}(\text{Isint}(M)) = \text{Isint}(M)$ .

(c)  $\text{Isint}(M)$  and  $\text{Isint}(N)$  are both ISO sets  
 and  $M \subseteq M \cup N, N \subseteq M \cup N$  implies  $\text{Isint}(M) \subseteq$   
 $\text{Isint}(M \cup N)$  and  $\text{Isint}(N) \subseteq \text{Isint}(M \cup N)$ . This implies  
 $\text{Isint}(M) \cup \text{Isint}(N) \subseteq \text{Isint}(M \cup N)$ .

(d) As  $M \cap N$  is an intuitionistic subset of  $M$  and  $M \cap N$   
 is an intuitionistic subset of  $N$  implies  $\text{Isint}(M \cap N) \subseteq$   
 $\text{Isint}(M) \cap \text{Isint}(N)$ . Conversely  $\text{Isint}(M) \subseteq M$  and  
 $\text{Isint}(N) \subseteq N$  implies  $\text{Isint}(M) \cap \text{Isint}(N) \subseteq M \cap N$  and  
 $\text{Isint}(M) \cap \text{Isint}(N)$  is an ISO set. But  $\text{Isint}(M \cap N)$   
 is the biggest ISO set contained in  $M \cap N$ . Hence  
 $\text{Isint}(M) \cap \text{Isint}(N) \subseteq M \cap N$ . This gives the equality.

(e) Since  $M$  is an intuitionistic subset of  $M \cup N$  and  
 $N$  is an intuitionistic subset of  $M \cup N, \text{Iscl}(M) \subseteq$

$Iscl(M \cup N)$  and  $Iscl(N) \subset Iscl(M \cup N)$  because  $M \subset N \Rightarrow Iscl(M) \subset Iscl(N)$ . Hence  $Iscl(M) \cup Iscl(N) \subset Iscl(M \cup N) \rightarrow (1)$ .

Since  $Iscl(M), Iscl(N)$  are ISC sets,  $Iscl(M) \cup Iscl(N)$  is also intuitionistic closed. Also  $M \subset Iscl(M)$  and  $N \subset Iscl(N)$  implies that  $M \cup N \subset Iscl(M) \cup Iscl(N)$ . Since  $Iscl(M \cup N)$  is the smallest ISC set containing  $M \cup N$ ,  $Iscl(M \cup N) \subset Iscl(M) \cup Iscl(N) \rightarrow (2)$ . From (1) and (2),  $Iscl(M \cup N) = Iscl(M) \cup Iscl(N)$ .

(f) Hence  $M \cap N \subseteq M$  and  $M \cap N \subseteq N$  implies  $Iscl(M \cap N) \subseteq Iscl(M)$  also  $Iscl(M \cap N) \subseteq Iscl(N)$  implies  $Iscl(M \cap N) \subseteq Iscl(M) \cap Iscl(N)$ .

**Theorem:4.3.** Let  $M$  be an intuitionistic set in an  $ITS(X_4)$ , the following statement holds.

- (a)  $IsFr(M) = IsFr(M^c)$ .
- (b) If  $M_1$  is ISC, then  $IsFr(M_1) \subseteq M_1$ .
- (c) Suppose  $M_2$  is ISO, then  $IsFr(M_2) \subseteq M_2^c$ .
- (d) Let  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N \in ISC(X)$  (resp.  $N \in ISO(X)$ ) then  $IsFr(M) \subseteq N$  (resp.  $IsFr(M) \subseteq N^c$ ) where  $ISC(X)$  (resp.  $ISO(X)$ ) denotes the ISC (ISO resp.) sets in  $X$ .
- (e)  $(IsFr(M_3))^c = Isint(M_3) \cup Isint(M_3^c)$ .
- (f)  $IsFr(M_4) \subseteq IFR(M_4)$ .
- (g)  $Iscl(IsFr(M)) \subseteq IFR(M)$ .

**Proof:**(a) Let  $q \in IsFr(M) \Leftrightarrow$  every intuitionistic neighbourhood (Inhd shortly)[2] of  $q$  intersects both  $M$  and  $M^c \Leftrightarrow$  every Inhd of  $q$  intersects both  $(M^c)^c$  and  $M^c$ , because  $(M^c)^c = M \Leftrightarrow q \in IsFr(M^c)$ .

Proof of (b), (c), (d) and (e) are analogous of Theorem 3.8.

(f) Since  $Iscl(M_4) \subseteq Icl(M_4)$  and  $Iscl(M_4^c) \subseteq Icl(M_4^c)$ , then it gives  $IsFr(M_4) = Iscl(M_4) \cap Iscl(M_4^c) \subseteq Icl(M_4) \cap Icl(M_4^c) = IFR(M_4)$ .

(g)  $Iscl(IsFr(M)) = Iscl(Iscl(M)(M^c)) \subseteq Iscl(Iscl(M)) \cap Iscl(Iscl(M^c)) = Iscl(M) \cap Iscl(M^c) = IsFr(M) \subseteq IFR(M)$ .

**Example:4.4.** Let  $X_4 = \{a_4, b_4, c_4\}$  with  $\mu = \{X, \phi, \langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4, c_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\} \rangle,$

$\langle X_4, \phi, \{a_4, b_4\}, \{c_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{a_4, b_4\}, \phi \rangle,$   
 (i) ISC sets are  $\{X_4, \phi, \langle X_4, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{a_4\} \rangle,$   
 $\langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{a_4, b_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4\}, \{c_4\} \rangle,$   
 $\langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\}, \phi \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\}, \{b_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4, c_4\}, \phi \rangle$  and  
 $M_1 = \langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\} \rangle, IsFr(\langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\} \rangle) = \langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4, c_4\} \rangle.$  This implies  $IsFr(M_1) \subseteq M_1$  but  $M_1 \not\subseteq IsFr(M_1)$ .

(ii) ISO sets are  $\{X_4, \phi, \langle X_4, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4\} \rangle,$   
 $\langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4, c_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\}, \{b_4\} \rangle,$   
 $\langle X_4, \phi, \{c_4\}, \phi \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{a_4, b_4\}, \{c_4\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{a_4, b_4\}, \phi \rangle,$   
 $IsFr(\langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4, c_4\} \rangle) = \langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4, c_4\} \rangle$  implies  $IsFr(\langle X_4, \phi, \{b_4, c_4\} \rangle) \subseteq M_2^c$  but  $M_2^c \not\subseteq IsFr(M_2)$ .

(iii) From Example 3.11.,  $Iscl(IsFr(M)) = \langle X_3, \phi, \{11\} \rangle$  implies  $Iscl(IsFr(M)) \subseteq IFR(M)$  but  $IFR(M) \not\subseteq Iscl(IsFr(M))$ .

(iv) From Example 3.11, let  $M = \langle X_3, \{11\}, \phi \rangle,$   $IsFr(M) = \langle X_3, \phi, \{11\} \rangle,$   $IFR(M) = \langle X_3, \{22\}, \{11\} \rangle$  implies  $IsFr(M) \subseteq IFR(M)$  but  $IFR(M) \not\subseteq IsFr(M)$ .

**Theorem: 4.5.** Let  $M$  be an IS in an  $ITS(X_4)$ . Then

- (a)  $IsFr(M_4) = Iscl(M_4) - Isint(M_4)$ .
- (b)  $IsFr(Isint(M_4)) \subseteq IsFr(M_4)$ .
- (c)  $IsFr(Iscl(M_4)) \subseteq IsFr(M_4)$ .
- (d)  $Isint(M_4) \subseteq M_4 - IsFr(M_4)$ .

**Proof:** (a) Let  $IsFr(M_4) = Iscl(M_4) \cap Iscl(M_4^c) = Iscl(M_4) \cap Iscl(X - M_4) = Iscl(M_4) \cap (Isint(M_4))^c = Iscl(M_4) - Isint(M_4)$ .

(b)  $IsFr(Isint(M_4)) = Iscl(Isint(M_4)) \cap Iscl(Isint(M_4)^c) \subseteq Iscl(M_4) \cap Iscl(M_4^c) \subseteq IsFr(M_4)$ .

(c)  $IsFr(Iscl(M_4)) = Iscl(Iscl(M_4)) \cap Iscl(Iscl(M_4)^c) \subseteq Iscl(M_4) \cap Iscl(M_4^c) \subseteq IsFr(M_4)$ , by Theorem 4.2.

(d) Let  $M_4 - IsFr(M_4) = M_4 - (Iscl(M_4) - Isint(M_4)) \supseteq Isint(M_4)$ .

**Example:4.6.i)** In Example 3.11, let  $M_4 = \langle X_3, \phi, \{1\} \rangle,$   $Isint(M_4) = \phi,$   $IsFr(Isint(M_4)) = \phi$  and  $IsFr(M_4) =$

$\langle X_3, \phi, \{11\} \rangle.$  Thus  $IsFr(M_4) \not\subseteq IsFr(Isint(M_4))$ .

ii) Let  $X_5 = \{a_5, b_5, c_5\}, \mu = \{X_5, \phi, \langle X_5, \phi, \{c_5\} \rangle,$

$\langle X_5, \phi, \{c_5\}, \phi \rangle, \langle X_5, \phi, \{a_5, b_5\}, \{c_5\} \rangle, \langle X_5, \phi, \{b_5, c_5\} \rangle.$

Let  $M_4 = \langle X_5, \phi, \{b_5, c_5\} \rangle,$  then  $IsFr(Iscl(M_4)) = \langle X_5, \phi, \{b_5, c_5\} \rangle,$   $IsFr(M_4) = \langle X_5, \phi, \{c_5\}, \{b_5\} \rangle.$  This implies  $IsFr(M_4) \not\subseteq IsFr(Iscl(M_4))$ .

iii) Let  $X_4 = \{12, 22\}$  with intuitionistic topology  $\mu = \{X_4, \phi, \langle X_4, \phi, \{12\}, \{22\} \rangle, \langle X_4, \phi, \{22\} \rangle.$

Let  $M_4 = \langle X_4, \phi, \{12\} \rangle,$  then  $Isint(M_4) = \phi.$

$M_4 - IsFr(M_4) = \langle X_4, \phi, \{12\} \rangle.$  This implies  $M_4 - IsFr(M_4) \not\subseteq Isint(M_4)$ .

**Theorem: 4.7.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be IS's in an  $ITS(X_6)$ . Then  $IsFr(M \cup N) \subseteq IsFr(M) \cup IsFr(N)$ .

**Proof:** Similar to Theorem 3.8. and converse of Theorem 4.7. need not be true.

**Example:4.8.** In an  $ITS(X_6) = \{a_6, b_6, c_6\}$  with IT  $\mu = \{X_6, \phi, \langle X_6, \phi, \{a_6\}, \{b_6\} \rangle, \langle X_6, \phi, \{a_6, c_6\}, \phi \rangle.$  Let

$M = \langle X_6, \phi, \{a_6\}, \{b_6\} \rangle,$   $N = \langle X_6, \phi, \{a_6, c_6\}, \phi \rangle.$  Then

$IsFr(M \cup N) = \langle X_6, \phi, \{a_6, c_6\} \rangle$  and  $IsFr(M) \cup IsFr(N) = \langle X_6, \phi, \{b_6\}, \{a_6\} \rangle.$  This implies  $IsFr(M \cup N) \subseteq IsFr(M) \cup IsFr(N)$  but  $IsFr(M) \cup IsFr(N) \not\subseteq IsFr(M \cup N)$ .

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, the development of intuitionistic frontier and its various properties in intuitionistic topological spaces are studied. Also notions of semifrontier in intuitionistic topology have been studied and several of their properties are proved.



## References

- [1] K.T Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, No.1 (1986), 87-66.
- [2] D.Coker, A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points, *Turkish J. Math.*, 20, No.3 (1996), 343-351.
- [3] D.Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 88-1(1997), 81-89.
- [4] D.Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, *Busefal*, 81(2000), 51-56.
- [5] S.Girija and Gnanambal Ilango, Some More Results on Intuitionistic Semi Open Sets, *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 4(2014)11, 70-74.
- [6] N. Levine , Semi open sets and Semi continuity in topological space, *Amer. Math Monthly*, 68(1961), 36-41.
- [7] O.Njastad , On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J.Math*, 15(1965), 961-970.
- [8] A.A.Salama, Mohamed Abdelfattah and S.A.Alblowi, Some Intuitionistic Topological Notions of Intuitionistic Region, Possible Application to GIS topological Rules, *International Journal of Enhanced Research in Management Computer Applications*, 3(2014)5, 4-9.
- [9] S. Selvanayaki and Gnanambal Ilango, Strong and Weak forms of IGPR-continuity in intuitionistic topological spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 106(7)(2016), 45 - 55.
- [10] S. Selvanayaki and Gnanambal Ilango, Generators in intuitionistic topological spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 116(2017)12, 957-966.
- [11] Younis J.Yaseen and Asmaa G.Raouf , On generalization closed set and generalized continuity on intuitionistic topological spaces, *J.of Al-anbar University of Pure Science*, vol.3: no.1:(2009).
- [12] Zadeh L.A, Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 8,(1965), 338-353.