Jointly power allocation and phase shift optimization for RIS empowered downlink cellular networks
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Abstract

Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RIS) have been highlighted by the research community as a key enabling technology for the enhancement of next-generation wireless network performance, including energy efficiency, spectral efficiency, and network throughput. This paper investigates how RIS-assisted communication can effectively maximize the downlink throughput of a cellular network. Specifically, the paper considers a communication scenario where a single base station serves multiple ground users with the aid of an RIS placed on a building facade. For such a communication scenario, we considered an optimization problem aimed at maximizing the overall downlink throughput by jointly optimizing power allocation at the base station and phase shift of RIS reflecting elements, subject to power consumption and quality-of-service constraints. To address its non-convex nature, the original optimization problem has been divided into two subproblems. The first one, for power control with fixed phase shift values, is a convex problem that can be easily solved. Subsequently, a phase shift searching procedure to solve the non-convex problem of RIS phase shift optimization has been adopted. The results from numerical simulations show that the proposed method outperforms other conventional methods proposed in the literature. In addition, computational complexity analysis has been conducted to prove the low complexity of the proposed method.
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1. Introduction

The current generation of wireless communication systems is experiencing a huge increase of connected mobile devices with a corresponding exponential increase of mobile data traffic. According to data analysis and forecasts from the International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R), this trend will inevitably cause a collapse of the current 5G networks in the near future [2]. Under these perspectives, both industry and academia are actively working towards the development of the new wireless communication network referred as sixth-generation (6G).

This new standard is expected to introduce innovative physical layer technologies that, compared to 5G, will provide increased network capacity, as well as increased reduced latency and better communication reliability [3–5]. Reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) is one of these potential technology for 6G [6]. More specifically, RIS is a two-dimensional surface consisting of massive reflecting elements, which are entirely programmable through the usage of appropriate external signals [7]. As result, the adoption of RIS will allow to reflect and redirect the transmitted signal enabling then the possibility to control the signal propagation over the wireless medium. As illustrated in Figure 1, through a RIS-enabled communication scenario it results possible to manipulate and reflect radio signals in order to ensure improved coverage and signal quality, even in
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challenging environments. Furthermore, compared to massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology, RIS represents a more cost-effective and energy-efficient solution. [8]. As result, the adoption of RIS in wireless communication scenarios has gathered significant attention from the research community, who have recognized it as a pivotal enabling technology for 6G due to its immense potential and capabilities [9]. Some of the most relevant works are discussed in the next subsection.

1.1. Related works on RIS

Authors in [10] proved how, compared to amplify-and-forward (AF), a RIS-assisted wireless communication system outperforms in terms of ergodic capacity (EC) and outage probability, and then in terms of lower symbol error rate (SER) and average end-to-end signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Particularly, the end-to-end wireless channel from source to destination with the assistance of single RIS and multiple RISs was considered, then, the authors derived the close-form expression for OP, and SER in both investigate cases. Likewise, the close-form expression of instantaneous, average end-to-end SNR, and EC of both RIS-assisted RF-relaying wireless system are derived to analyze the performance in case of single RIS and multi-RISs. Additionally, in [11] they considered communication scenario with single antenna at both transmitter and receiver, assisted by a RIS with N reflecting elements. In order to validate the performances of such RIS-assisted scenario, they provided a closed-form expressions the EC upper bound and outage probability approximation under the assumption of mixed Rayleigh and Rician fading channels, which have been validated by using Monte Carlo simulations. This has been further validated in [12], by deriving closed-form expressions for the OP, average SER and average communication rate. Last but not least, the authors also shown how the number of reflecting elements of RIS in single-input single-output (SISO) channel impact on channel diversity. A RIS-aided SISO wireless system with underlying non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) communication consisting has been considered in [13]. In this case, OP of the considered system has been derived in closed-form, showing the significant benefit of RIS in enhancing the coverage under the new channel statistics link from BS to cell-edge user devices via RIS with Nakagami-m fading.

In addition to the mathematical models that represents a tangible tool for demonstrating the potential of RIS-assisted communications, several studies have also been conducted with the main aim of optimizing the main variables of the entire communication system and then maximizing the overall system performances. An optimization framework aimed at maximizing the energy efficiency (EE) of a multiple-input single-output (MISO) RIS-assisted network was proposed in [14]. The proposed optimization framework jointly optimized power allocation at the base station and the phase shift of the RIS to serve multiple users.

Optimization strategies to maximize the weighted sum rate (WSR) of all users have been proposed in [15, 16]. More specifically, authors in [15] considered an RIS-assisted multi-user MISO wireless communication scenario. The considered system consisted in an N-element RIS and one multi-antenna wireless access point providing services to single-antenna users in a quasi-static flat-fading channel environment. In this context, WSR of the network was maximized by jointly optimizing beamforming at the access point and RIS phase-shift vector. On the other hand, a RIS-aided millimetre-wave (mmWave) massive MIMO was considered in [16]. More specifically, authors considered a system where the direct links between the BS and mobile users are blocked by objects. Under this assumptions, the WSR was maximized by jointly optimizing BS's beamforming matrix and the RIS's phase-shift vector.

An iterative optimization algorithm to maximize the achievable rate of a MIMO system equipped with a RIS have been proposed in [17]. In this case, the proposed algorithm jointly optimized the covariance matrix of the transmitted signal and the phase shift coefficients of RIS elements.

Recently, the possibility of including RIS into unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) communication scenarios has also gaining attention. Indeed, compared to the conventional BS-based communications, the simultaneous usage of these technologies within the same communication area definitively allows for improving the strength of the signal received by the ground users [18–20]. However, these types of communication scenarios comes with additional variables to optimized in order to maximize the entire system performances, especially in particular where an high quality of connection between UAV and ground users must be guaranteed, such as disaster rescue mission and geography exploration.

Authors in [21] considered a communication scenario with a single UAV, a ground user, and an RIS placed on a building facade. For this communication setup, in order to maximize the average achievable system rate, an optimization framework that jointly optimizes the beamforming vector and the UAV's trajectory was proposed.

In [22], a deep reinforcement learning (DRL) based approach was investigated to maximize the EE of multi-UAV networks. To tackle this problem, a DRL-based method the joint optimization of RIS phase shift optimization and power allocation of UAVs was proposed and validated.
The problem of maximizing the average achievable rate of a RIS-aided UAV network was presented in [23]. To deal with the non-convexity of such problem, the authors proposed to divide the original problem into two sub-problems, i.e., one for passive beamforming optimization and another for trajectory optimization. Nevertheless, the main focus in [24] was on the maximization of total network throughput through the optimization power allocation and phase shift subject to power consumption constraints and minimum guaranteed quality-of-service at users.

The usage of RIS technology is also gaining attention in the context of Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) scenarios. The usage of RIS within a MEC scenario holds the potential to address the latency requirements envisioned for 6G-enabled MEC services. Especially, in [25] proposed the RIS-aided NOMA network combined with radio frequency energy harvesting and MEC technique. To evaluate the effectiveness of networks parameters to the proposed scheme, the authors considered the optimization problem with two object functions including the probabilities of task offloading and energy transfer efficiency. It is worth to mention that in RIS-aided MEC system, the radio, computing, and wireless environment are considered to optimize [26, 27]. In line with this view, the objective in [26] is to optimize resource allocation including the transmit power and the computing capacity of the RIS-assisted MEC system. It is highlighted that the authors formulated the RIS optimization problem for dependent RIS response profiles over the multi-carrier frequency selective channels. Meanwhile, to overcome the huge challenges of wireless network including the limited coverage and computational capacity, the authors propose the UAV-RIS assisted MEC network in [27]. To exploit the potential of proposed scheme, the authors derived the max-min computation capacity problem through considering the trajectory, computation capacity, beamforming of UAV, and time slot partition, uplink signal detection, and beamforming at RIS as well.

1.2. Motivation and Contributions

This paper represents an extension of the work presented in [1]. In particular, we extend our previous work by jointly optimizing the phase-shift matrix at RIS and power allocation at BS subject to minimum quality-of-service and power constraints, showing how the performances varies as the number of RISs in the area increases. Then, the main contributions of this work can be summarized as follows: The main contributions of this paper are listed as follows:

- We consider a RIS-aided wireless communication scenario where different RISs are deployed in order to provide downlink service to group of users. For such scenario we formulated an optimization problem aimed at maximizing the total network throughput under the power consumption and quality-of-service constraints.
- Due to the non-convexity nature of the proposed problem, we divided into two sub-problems, for which an iterative frameworks is proposed. Such framework summarized in Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2, was based on the usage of effective approximations, logarithm inequalities for relaxation.
- Finally, we investigated how the proposed joint optimization method outperform in maximizing total network throughput and the worst case mobile unit throughput compared to conventional methods.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. System model and the problem formulation are provided in Section 2.1. Section 3, illustrates the joint power allocation and phase shift optimization, and how it is divided into two sub-problems including power control coefficients optimization and RIS phase shift optimization, respectively. Simulation and performance evaluations results are discussed in Section 4. Finally, the paper is concluded Section 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_D$, $H_W$</td>
<td>BS and the RIS height, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_m$</td>
<td>Phase shift matrix of the $m$-th RIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Hermitian conjugate operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{m,m} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$</td>
<td>Channel matrix between the BS and the $m$-th RIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{m,k} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$</td>
<td>Channel matrix between the $m$-th RIS and the $(m,k)$-th MU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{m,k}$</td>
<td>Transmission power of BS to the $(m,k)$-th MU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_k \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_k^2)$</td>
<td>AWGN at the $(m,k)$-th MU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Path loss exponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{m,k} \in \mathbb{C}$</td>
<td>Cascaded channel matrix of the link BS-$(m,k)$th MU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{m,k}$</td>
<td>Transmission power of BS to the $(m,k)$-th MU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{LoS}, \eta_{NLoS}$</td>
<td>Average additional losses of LoS and NLoS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. System Model and Problem Formulation

In this section, we investigate the signal model for downlink multi-user SISO cellular network, then we formulate an optimization problem for maximizing the total network throughput by jointly optimal allocation of of transmit power at BS and phase shift of RIS.
2.1. System Model

Due to the objects obstructing the communication link, the mobile users (MUs) in cellular network either receive low quality signal or not signal at all from the BS. To tackle this problem, we propose the system model in Fig. 1, particularly we focus on enhancing the total network throughput of the multi-user cellular network with the assistance of RISs. More specifically, how illustrated in Fig. 1, we consider a single antenna BS serving a set of $M = \{1, ..., M\}$ small cells, each of them containing different numbers of MUs, which are supposed to be uniformly distributed within the whole coverage area. All these aures are indicated as $K = \{1, ..., K\}$. In order to solve the issue by blockage effect from buildings and other obstacles, it is supposed that different $N$-element RISs are placed on the facade of different buildings building, each of them used to cover a specific small cell. The number of MUs covered by the $m$-th cell are indicated as $K_m = \{1, ..., K_m\}$ for $m \in M$, while the $k$-th user within the $m$-th group as $(m,k)$.

2.2. Communication Model

Within the considered communication scenario, the 3D Cartesian coordinates of the BS, the RISs and of the generic MU are indicated as $(x_0, y_0, H_0)$, $(x_m, y_m, H_m)$, $m \in M$ and $(x_k, y_k, 0)$, $k \in K$, with $H_0$ and $H_m$ being the $z$-coordinate, i.e., height the BS and the RIS altitude, respectively. We assume that there exists a direct communication between BS and the $m$-th RIS. Then, as also assumed in [28, 29], such communication link follow the free-space path loss model:

$$\beta_{0,m} = \beta_0 l_{0,m}^{-2}, \quad m = 1, ..., M,$$

(1)

with $\beta_0$ being the channel gain at reference position, and $l_{0,m}$ the distance between the BS and the $m$-th RIS calculated as:

$$l_{0,m} = \sqrt{d_{0,m}^2 + (H_0 - H_m)^2},$$

(2)

with $d_{0,m} = \sqrt{(x_0 - x_m)^2 + (y_0 - y_m)^2}$. On the other hand, since the channel from the RIS to the MUs is usually affected by shadowing and blockage, a non-LoS (NLoS) model is applied. In this case then, the channel from the $m$-th RIS to the $(m,k)$-th MU is modelled as [30]

$$\beta_{m,k} = P_{L_{m,k}} + \eta_{m,k} + \eta_{NLoS_{m,k}} + A_{m,k} + B,$$

(3)
where $\eta^{\text{LoS}}$ and $\eta^{\text{NLoS}}$ are the average additional losses for LoS and NLoS, respectively, $A = \eta^{\text{LoS}} - \eta^{\text{NLoS}}$ and $B = 10\log\left(\frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda_f}\right) + \eta^{\text{NLoS}}$. The path loss is given as follows:

$$PL_{m,k} = 10\log\left(\frac{4\pi l_{mk}}{\lambda_c}\right)^a, \quad m = 1, ..., M,$$

where $\lambda_c = c/\nu$ is the wavelength of the carrier at frequency $\nu$, expressed in Hz, while $a \geq 2$ is the path loss exponent. As regards the probability of LoS and NLoS, they are modelled by [31]:

$$p^{\text{LoS}}_{mk} = \frac{1}{1 + a\exp\left[-b\left(\arctan\left(\frac{\eta_{mk}}{\mu_{mk}}\right) - \theta\right)\right]},$$

$$p^{\text{NLoS}}_{mk} = 1 - p^{\text{LoS}}_{mk},$$

whit $a$ and $b$ representing environmental constraints.

Finally, the phase shift matrix at the $m$-th RIS is expressed as:

$$\Phi_m = \text{diag}[\phi_{1m}, \phi_{2m}, ..., \phi_{Nm}], \quad m \in M $$

where $\text{diag}(a)$ denotes a diagonal matrix having the element of vector $a$ along its diagonal. More specifically, each coefficient is modelled as $\phi_{nm} = \alpha_{nm}e^{j\beta_{nm}}$ with $\alpha_{nm} \in [0, 1]$ and $\beta_{nm} \in [0, 2\pi]$ (forall $n = 1, 2, ..., N, \ m \in M$) indicating the amplitude and phase shift of received by the $n$-th element of vector $\phi$. It is worth to mention that we assume $\alpha_{nm} = 1$ [32]. In order to take into account the effect of the small scale fading coefficients, we assume that for both BS to $m$-th RIS, BS-MUs communication link. Supposing that the communications are performed through the Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) scheme, the signal at the $k$-th MU in the $m$-th group can be expressed as:

$$y_{m,k} = \sqrt{P_{m,k} h_{m,k}} x_{k} + n_{m,k}, \quad m \in M, \ k \in K_m, $$

in which $p_{m,k}$ denotes the transmission power allocated by the BS to the $(m, k)$-th MU, $x_{k}$ with $||x_{m,k}||^2 \leq 1$ is the informative message, $\omega_{mk} \sim C_{N}[0, \sigma_k^2]$ is the Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN).

indicating with $p_{0} = [p_{0,m}]_{m=1}^{M}$, where $p_{0,m} = \frac{K_{m}}{C_{N}}$ power control coefficients used at the BS, and with $\Phi_M = [\Phi_m]_{m=1}^{M}$ the phase shifts coefficients of RISs, the SNR at the $(m, k)$-th MU be formulated as

$$\gamma_{m,k} (p_{mk}, \Phi_m) \equiv \frac{p_{mk} |h_{m,k}|^2}{\sigma_k^2}. $$

Then, the throughput of the $(m, k)$-th MU, expressed in bit per second per Hertz (bps/Hz) can be expressed using the Shannon formula for the channel capacity:

$$R_{m,k} (p_{mk}, \Phi_m) = \log_2 \left(1 + \gamma_{m,k} (p_{mk}, \Phi_m) \right) $$

Finally, the total throughput of all MUs in the considered network can be formulated as

$$R_{\text{total}} (p_0, \Phi_M) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K_m} R_{m,k} (p_{mk}, \Phi_m). $$

### 2.4. Problem Formulation

As already mentioned before, we aim at jointly optimizing the power control coefficients ($p_0$) at the BS and the phase shift ($\Phi_M$) of the RISs, in order to maximize the total downlink network throughput, under power consumption and QoS constraints. To this end, we formulated the following optimization problem:

\[
\max_{p_0, \Phi_M} R_{\text{total}} (p_0, \Phi_M)
\]

s.t.

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K_m} p_{mk} \leq P_0^{\text{max}}, \quad m \in M, \ k \in K_m,
\]

\[
R_{m,k} (p_{mk}, \Phi_m) \geq \omega_{mk}, \quad m \in M, \ k \in K_m,
\]

\[
0 \leq \theta_{nm} \leq 2\pi, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., N, \ m \in M,
\]

where the constraint (13b) represents the total power consumption constraint at the BS. On the other hand, constraints (13c) and (13d) accounts for the individual QoS requirement at the $(m, k)$-th MU and lower and upper bounds of the phase shifts of RIS elements, respectively.

### 2.3. Signal Model

As illustrated in Figure 1, we have considered a downlink communication scenario where a signal from a BS is transmitted to $K$ single antenna MUs with the support of RISs deployed on buildings facade to help in improving the BS-MUs communication link. Supposing
3. Proposed Optimization Framework

In order to deal with the non-convexity of problem (13) and its related constraints, we proposed an algorithm that iteratively optimize the power control coefficients at the BS and the phase shifts of RIS reflecting elements. The main components of this algorithm are explained in the following subsections.

3.1. Optimization of Power Control Coefficients

At this stage we assume that $\Phi_M$ is fixed, then (13) obtaining then the following optimization problem for the power coefficients:

$$\max_{p_0} R_{\text{total}}(p_0) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (13b), (13c).$$

This problem is solved by an effective approximation obtained by using logarithm inequalities [34, 35] based on the property that the convex function $f(z) = \log_2(1 + \frac{1}{z}) \geq f(z)$, with

$$\hat{f}(z) = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z} \right) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{z}} - \frac{z}{(1 + z)^2},$$

$\forall z > 0, \bar{z} > 0$. Then, the throughput expression can be rewritten as:

$$R_{m,k}(p_{m,k}) \geq \hat{R}^{(\text{iter})}_{m,k}(p_{m,k}), \forall k \in K_m, \forall m \in M, \quad (16)$$

where

$$z = \frac{\sigma^2}{p_{m,k}|\mathbf{s}_{m,k}|^2}, \quad \bar{z} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{p}^{(\text{iter})}_{m,k}|\mathbf{s}_{m,k}|^2},$$

$$\hat{R}^{(\text{iter})}_{m,k}(p_{m,k}) = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z} \right) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{z}} - \frac{z}{(1 + z)^2}.$$ 

Then, the optimization problem (14) at the $i$-th iteration can be rewritten as:

$$\max_{p_0} \hat{R}^{(\text{iter})}_{\text{total}}(p_0) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (13b),$$

$$\hat{R}^{(\text{iter})}_{m,k}(p_0) \geq \hat{r}_{m,k}, \quad (18c)$$

where $\hat{R}^{(x)}_{\text{total}}(p_0) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K_m} \hat{R}^{(x)}_{m,k}(p_{m,k})$.

It is noticed that (18) is convex. Thus, it can be solved efficiently by using standard software, such as CVX tools[36]. The proposed iterative power allocation procedure to solve the problem (18) to provide the optimal power control coefficients $(p_{0i}^*)$ is summarized in the Algorithm 1, where the maximum number of iterations is $Iter_{\text{max}} = 20$.

Algorithm 1 Power allocation procedure

1: Initialize:
2: Let the iteration value $iter = 0$ and $Iter_{\text{max}} = 20$
3: Let the feasible point for $\Phi_M$, and the tolerance $\xi = 10^{-3}$
4: while (The convergence is not reach or $\text{iter} \leq \text{Iter}_{\text{max}}$)
5: Solve (18) to find $(p_{0i}^{(i+1)})$ using CVX tool
6: Update $\text{iter} = \text{iter} + 1$
7: end while
8: Output: the optimal power control coefficients $p_0^*$

3.2. Phase Shift Optimization

Similarly, we assume that power control coefficients $p_0$ is fixed, thus the problem (13) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\max_{\Phi_M} R_{\text{total}}(\Phi_M) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (13c), (13d).$$

In this problem we introduce the notation for the cascaded channel $h_{m,k}^H(\Phi_M, \mathbf{h}_{0,m} = v_{m,H}^I X_{m,k})$ where $v_m = [v_{m,1}, ..., v_{m,N}^H]$ with $v_{m,n}^H = e^{j\theta_{m,n}} \ (\forall n = 1, 2, ..., N)$, $X_{m,k} = \text{diag}(p_{m,k}^H h_{0,m})$, and $\rho_k = P_0/\alpha_k^2$. Supposing $|v_{m,n}^H|^2 = 1$, the (13d) constraints becomes the unit-modulus constraint [37]. Then, the problem (19) is equivalent to the following:

$$\max_{v_{m,n}, m \in M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K_m} \log_2 \left( 1 + \rho_k \frac{|v_{m,n}^H X_{m,k}^H \mathbf{h}_{0,m}^T v_{m,n}^H|}{\sigma^2} \right) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (20a),$$

$$|v_{m,n}^H|^2 = 1, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., N, \quad m \in M.$$ 

Since (20) is still non-convex we used a relaxation method in order to obtain a convex version of this optimization problem. In particular, we first denote $X_{m,k} = X_{m,k} X_{m,k}^H$ and $v_{m,n}^H X_{m,k}^H v_{m,n} = tr(X_{m,k} v_{m,n}^H v_{m,n}^H) = tr(X_{m,k} Y_m)$ where $Y_m = v_{m,n}^H v_{m,n}$ satisfies the condition $Y_m \geq 0$ and rank($Y_m$) = 1, $\forall m \in M$. This allowed us to relax the rank-one constraint of (20c) [38]. Finally, (20) can be rewritten as:

$$\max_{v_{m,n}, m \in M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K_m} \log_2 \left( 1 + \rho_k tr(X_{m,k} Y_m) \right) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (21a),$$

$$tr(X_{m,k} Y_m) \geq (2r_{m,k} - 1)/\rho_k, \quad (21b)$$

$$Y_{m(n,n)} = 1, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., N, \quad m \in M, \quad (21c)$$

$$Y_m \geq 0.$$ 

Problem (21) is a convex semidefinite program (SDP) [37], which can be efficiently solved by using
CVX tool. Algorithm 2 illustrate the proposed Block Coordinate Descendent (BCD) method employed to find the optimal phase shift \( \Phi^*_M \).

**Algorithm 2 Phase shift searching procedure**

1: Initialize:
2: Let the iteration value \( iter = 0 \) and \( \text{Iter}_{\text{max}} = 20 \)
3: Let the feasible point for \( p_0, \xi = 10^{-3} \), and \( f_m^{(0)} \)
4: while (The convergence is not reach or \( iter \leq \text{Iter}_{\text{max}} \))
5: for \( m \) in range \([1: M]\)
6: Solve (21) to find \( (\Phi_m^{(\text{iter} + 1)} M) \) using CVX tool
7: Update \( f_{m,k}^{(\text{iter} + 1)} \)
8: end for
9: Update \( iter = iter + 1 \)
10: end while
11: Output: The optimal phase shift \( \Phi^*_M \)

Then, the intractable optimization problem in (13) is solved by combining the Algorithm 1 power allocation optimization and Algorithm 2 RIS phase shift optimization.

### 4. Simulation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radius of BS’s coverage circle</td>
<td>500m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of expanded deployment</td>
<td>1500m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS’s location</td>
<td>(0,0,30)m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White noise power density</td>
<td>-130 dBm/Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoS threshold</td>
<td>1 bps/Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance for convergence of algorithm ( \xi = 10^{-3} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>10MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS transmit power</td>
<td>[43:46]dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of users in small cell</td>
<td>[20, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of RISs</td>
<td>[4, 8, 12, 20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflecting elements</td>
<td>[100, 150, 200, 250, 300]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this section, we illustrate the performances of our proposed optimization method obtained through numerical simulation carried out using Matlab. To perform the simulation, we use the personal computer with CPU Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-9700 CPU @ 3.00GHz and 16GB memory. Simulation parameters are summarized in Table 2. As regards the channel modelling we considered the same settings adopted in [34, 39]. The performances of our proposed method, indicated as (OOP), have been compared with the performances achieved by other conventional methods such as Optimal power allocation with Random phase shift (ORP), and Equal power allocation with Optimal phase shift (EOP) demonstrate the results in case of either without optimizing power allocation or without phase shift optimization, respectively. Additional, the Equal power allocation - Random phase shift (ERP) has also been considered, which is the one not optimizing neither power allocation nor phase shift coefficients.

#### 4.1. The total network throughput

To demonstrate the outperform of jointly optimization problem, we show the results in difference scenarios obtained by changing maximum transmit power, number of RIS, and number of reflecting elements per RIS. To take into account the effect of both number of RIS and number of MU in each group of user, Figure 2 illustrates how the total network throughput
Figure 4. Total throughput versus number of reflecting elements ($M = 8$, $K = 30$, $P_{0}^{\text{max}} = 46$ dBm).

Figure 5. Total network throughput of the proposed method jointly optimization with different number of RISs ($M = 4$, $M = 12$, $M = 20$).

Figure 6. Worst-case MU throughput versus transmit power at BS ($M = 8$, $K = 30$, $N = 200$).

4.2. The worst-case MU throughput

In this part, we consider the total network throughput of the worst-case MU in order to prove the proposed method outperform when compared with conventional scheme also in this case.

As expected, the result in Figure 6 demonstrates the superiority of the proposed method (OOP) compared...
Figure 7. Worst-case MU throughput versus number of RIS elements $N$ ($M = 20$, $K = 30$, $P^\text{max}_0 = 46\text{dBm}$).

Figure 8. Worst-case MU throughput of the proposed method jointly optimization with different number of RISs ($M = 4$, $M = 12$, $M = 20$).

In this paper, we have investigated how the integration of RIS can improve the network performances of SISO downlink cellular wireless networks. Specifically, our focus has been on optimizing the total network throughput under the constraints of maximum transmit power at the BS and QoS requirements of users. To address this challenge, we formulated a related optimization problem, for which we have introduced an iterative algorithm that jointly optimizes the power allocation and phase shift of RISs. The numerical results outlined the efficacy of our proposed system when compared to other conventional resource allocation schemes. In particular it has been illustrated how the total network throughput can be highly maximized when both power allocation and phase shift are optimized. Furthermore, even in worst-case MU scenarios throughput, the proposed methods demonstrates superior performance when power allocation and phase shift coefficients are jointly optimized. As future research direction, we will extend this work by considering multiple antennas BS, and then introducing the active beamforming at BS into the optimization problem.

5. Conclusions and Future Directions

To the conventional schemes including OPH, EOP, and ERP, respectively, in case of worst-case MU throughput when the values are fixed at $M = 8$, $K = 30$, and $N = 200$. At the onset, it is clear that the worst MU throughput of OOP is equal to 1 bps/Hz at $P^\text{max}_0 = 43dBm$, and $P^\text{max}_0 = 44dBm$, while at $P^\text{max}_0 = 45dBm$, and $P^\text{max}_0 = 46dBm$ are higher and reach the peak value approximately double at $P^\text{max}_0 = 46dBm$. Likewise, ORP achieves a 1 bps/Hz throughput when the transmit power of BS grows up from $P^\text{max}_0 = 43dBm$ to $P^\text{max}_0 = 46dBm$, meanwhile the worst MU throughput of EOP increase when the transmit power increases. However, without optimization ERP, the worst MU throughput is less than 0.5 bps/Hz, so it is unsatisfied the individual QoS constraints in (13c).

On the other hand, to prove the effect of the number of reflecting element per RIS, in Figure 7, we plot the worst-case MU throughput by fixing $P^\text{max}_0 = 46dBm$, $M = 20$, $K = 30$, while the number of reflecting elements ranges from 100 to 300. In this case, it can be noticed that the throughput achieved by the worst-case MU mostly satisfies the individual QoS constraint expressed in (13c) with the value higher than 1 bps/Hz, except when the minimum considered transmit power $P^\text{max}_0 = 43dBm$ is considered. Conversely, it is obvious when no optimization is applied, i.e., ERP, the MU throughput of the worst-case MU is nearly equal to zero even if the number of reflecting element increases.

On the other hand, Figure 8 shows the worst-case MU throughput achieved by varying the number of RIS ($M = 4$, $M = 12$, $M = 20$), while the number of reflecting element is fixed to $N = 200$, and the number of user in each small cell is fixed to $K = 30$. It can be seen from this figure that in the majority of cases the MU throughput of the proposed method is mostly greater than 1 bps/Hz, as well as how the perceived throughput increases when the number of RISs and the transmit power of BS increase too. Last but not least, the MU throughput at $M = 20$ is approximately 2.5 times higher than MU throughput at $M = 12$ when the transmit power is set at $P^\text{max}_0 = 43dBm$. 
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